Propagating Culture

How identity becomes shared behavior, institutions, and collective life

AN EDITORIAL ESSAY / 2026

ESSAY / PROPAGATING CULTURE

How identity becomes shared behavior, institutions, and collective life

How a belief becomes a social fact

Culture is often discussed as though it were a possession: a set of shared values, an inherited tradition, or the distinctive atmosphere of a place. These descriptions are useful, but they do not explain how a particular way of acting becomes established and reproduced. A value may be sincerely held without becoming collective. A principle may be publicly declared without changing anyone’s conduct. The problem is not simply what a group believes, but how belief becomes an expectation that influences what people actually do.

The argument here is that culture propagates through the repeated expression, reception, and reinforcement of identity. This is not a claim that all cultures originate from a single coherent identity. Collective life contains conflict, competing traditions, and unintended consequences. Rather, it identifies one consequential mechanism by which identity becomes socially durable: actions establish patterns, patterns become recognizable, and recognizable patterns begin to organize future behavior.

Identity is expressed before it is inherited

Consider a team whose leader insists that quality requires deliberate review. Initially this is a personal commitment. It becomes more consequential when time is allocated for review, errors are discussed without concealment, and new members learn that careful judgment matters more than an impressive presentation. The identity is no longer contained in a statement. It has entered routines, incentives, and expectations.

Edgar Schein’s account of organizational culture helps explain this movement. Shared assumptions can emerge as groups learn to solve problems of external adaptation and internal integration; what proves effective may become an accepted way of perceiving and acting (Schein & Schein, 2017). Culture is therefore not identical to identity. Identity concerns a sense of continuity and orientation; culture concerns the meanings and

practices that are learned and sustained together.

For an individual, the corresponding process occurs through habits, relationships, and conduct. An identity becomes consequential when it changes how the person participates in the world. Others encounter those expressions, interpret them, and sometimes incorporate them into their own lives.

The channels of propagation

Culture travels through language, ritual, reward, imitation, institutions, products, and environments. Each channel does different work. Language gives a group distinctions with which to interpret events. Rituals make commitments repeatable. Incentives determine which behaviors carry consequences. Architecture shapes opportunities for interaction. Technologies establish rules of participation, visibility, and access.

These channels may reinforce or contradict one another. A company can proclaim collaboration while rewarding individual competition. A school can celebrate curiosity while penalizing difficult questions. A hospitality brand can promise restoration while designing an experience that demands constant attention. In each case the operative lesson is transmitted by the conditions people encounter, not only by the words they hear.

Clifford Geertz’s interpretive account of culture is useful here: cultural meaning is public and must be understood through symbols and practices in context (Geertz, 1973). Transmission is not mechanical copying. Recipients interpret what they encounter through existing histories and commitments. Some expressions are repeated, some resisted, and others transformed.

CONCEPTUAL MODEL

EXPRESSION	Commitments become observable
RECEPTION	Others interpret and respond
REPETITION	Practices become expected
INSTITUTION	Patterns gain durable support
FEEDBACK	Culture shapes future identity

The recursive character of culture

The identity we cultivate eventually begins to cultivate the conditions in which others form their own.

Once a practice becomes familiar, it can shape behavior without explicit instruction. New members enter environments already organized by decisions they did not make. They learn what is rewarded, what is tolerated, what is admirable, and what is regarded as normal. The cultural pattern begins to reproduce itself.

This process is recursive. Identity informs expression; expression alters the social environment; the environment influences future identity formation. No single arrow captures the whole. Culture is continually maintained, contested, and revised through participation.

The role of design and leadership

This account gives design a more substantial responsibility than the production of visual consistency. The design of a service, interface, institution, or physical environment affects the conditions in which behavior occurs. A public space can encourage encounter or isolation. A digital platform can reward reflection or impulsive reaction. A workplace can make collaboration routine or administratively exhausting.

Christopher Alexander and colleagues approached the built environment through recurring patterns of human use and experience (Alexander et al., 1977). Their work does not establish a general law of cultural transmission, but it helps show why architecture cannot be treated as a neutral container for social activity.

Leadership likewise operates through conditions as well as declarations. Hiring, governance, promotion, budget allocation, and responses to failure teach people what an institution actually values. A leader cannot guarantee a desired culture, but can influence the arrangements through which certain patterns are made more likely to persist.

What propagation cannot guarantee

A coherent culture is not necessarily an ethical one. A group may reproduce secrecy, exclusion, or harmful norms with remarkable consistency. Cultural durability must therefore be evaluated separately from moral worth. What spreads matters as much as how successfully it spreads.

Nor can culture be fully controlled by its originators. Practices acquire new meanings as they cross generations, markets, and communities. Institutions preserve some elements and alter others. The possibility of reinterpretation is not a defect in propagation; it is intrinsic to cultural life.

The practical question for executives, designers, and institutions is therefore more demanding than how to communicate a set of values. It is what people are learning from the totality of their experience—and whether the structures through which those lessons are transmitted remain consistent with what the system claims to protect.

References

Selected scholarship informing the argument. The cross-disciplinary framework is a proposed synthesis, not an established empirical model.

Alexander, C., Ishikawa, S., & Silverstein, M. (1977). A Pattern Language. Oxford University Press.

Geertz, C. (1973). The Interpretation of Cultures. Basic Books.

Schein, E. H., & Schein, P. A. (2017). Organizational Culture and Leadership (5th ed.). Wiley.